

ON THE EQUIVARIANT K -THEORY OF COMPACT SIMPLY-CONNECTED LIE GROUPS

CHI-KWONG FOK

ABSTRACT. In this note we present a proof of the result that, for any simply-connected, connected and compact Lie group G , viewed as a G -space with conjugation action, $K_G^*(G) \cong \Omega_{R(G)/\mathbb{Z}}^*$, the ring of Grothendieck differentials of the complex representation ring. The proof hinges on the computation of a certain equivariant index, which is a slight modification of the argument in [At].

1. INTRODUCTION

In [BZ], Brylinski and Zhang showed the following

Theorem 1.1. *For any compact connected Lie group G with $\pi_1(G)$ torsion-free, which is viewed as a G -space with conjugation action, $K_G^*(G)$ is isomorphic, as $R(G)$ -algebras, to $\Omega_{R(G)/\mathbb{Z}}^*$, the ring of Grothendieck differentials of the representation ring $R(G)$.*

Their proof is outlined as follows.

- (1) Let V be the underlying vector space of the representation ρ . The ring homomorphism $\varphi : \Omega_{R(G)/\mathbb{Z}}^* \rightarrow K_G^*(G)$ defined by

$$\varphi(\rho) = [G \times V] \in K_G^0(G)$$

$$\varphi(d\rho) = [0 \longrightarrow G \times \mathbb{R} \times V \xrightarrow{\psi} G \times \mathbb{R} \times V \longrightarrow 0] \in K_G^{-1}(G)$$

where $\psi(g, t, v) = (g, t, t\rho(g)v)$ and the G -action is the diagonal one, is an $R(G)$ -algebra homomorphism.

- (2) By using Hodgkin's spectral sequence for equivariant K -theory ([HS]) and Segal's localization theorem for equivariant K -theory ([S]), they showed that $K_G^*(G)$ is a free $R(G)$ -module of rank 2^l , where $l = \text{rank}(G)$.
- (3) Consider the composition of maps

$$\Omega_{R(G)/\mathbb{Z}}^* \xrightarrow{\varphi} K_G^*(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha} K_T^*(G) \xrightarrow{i^*} K_T^*(T) \cong \Omega_{R(T)/\mathbb{Z}}^*$$

If

$$(1) \quad i^* \circ \alpha \circ \varphi : \Omega_{R(G)/\mathbb{Z}}^* \xrightarrow{\cong} (\Omega_{R(T)/\mathbb{Z}}^*)^W$$

Date: September 13, 2013.

then φ is injective. Moreover, α is injective by the ‘splitting principle’, and i^* , being a localization map, is injective on $R(T)$ -torsion-free elements, in particular $\text{Im}(\alpha)$, by Segal’s localization theorem. Thus $i^* \circ \alpha$ is injective. Noting that $\text{Im}(i^* \circ \alpha) \subseteq K_T^*(T)^W$ and assuming that the isomorphism (1) is true, one can conclude that φ is surjective and hence an isomorphism. A significant part of their proof is devoted to showing that (1) holds, using algebro-geometric arguments.

In this note, we present an alternative proof of Theorem 1.1 for the special case of simply-connected compact Lie groups. We replace step (3) of their proof with an index theory argument which is essentially a slight modification of the argument in Atiyah’s computation of ordinary K -theory of simply-connected compact Lie groups ([At]), followed by an abelianization result in equivariant K -theory ([HLS]). Throughout this note G denotes any simply-connected, connected and compact Lie group and T its maximal torus.

2. THE PROOF

Lemma 2.1. $K_T^*(G)$ is a free $R(T)$ -module of rank 2^l .

Proof. By Lemma 2.5 of [BZ], $K_T^*(G) \cong K_G^*(G) \otimes_{R(G)} R(T)$ as $R(T)$ -modules. Since $K_G^*(G)$ is a free $R(G)$ -module of rank 2^l (Lemmata 4.4 and 4.5 of [BZ]), the result follows. \square

Definition 2.2. Let $\text{Ind}_T^G : K_T^*(G) \rightarrow K_T^*(\text{pt})$ be the composition of Thom isomorphism $\text{th}_{TG} : K_T^*(G) \rightarrow K_T^{*+\dim G}(TG)$ and the T -equivariant index map $K_T^*(TG) \rightarrow K_T^*(\text{pt})$.

Lemma 2.3. $\text{Ind}_T^G(u) = \frac{\text{Ind}_T^T i^* u}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} (1 - e^\alpha)}$

Proof. This is essentially the Atiyah-Segal localization formula for equivariant index ([AS]). Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} TT & \xrightarrow{i_2} & TG|_T & \xrightarrow{i_1} & TG \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_1 \\ & & T & \xrightarrow{i} & G \end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_T^G(u) &= \text{Ind}_T^{TG}(\pi_1^* u \cdot \tau_{TG/G}) \\ &= \text{Ind}_T^{TT} \frac{i_2^* \circ i_1^*(\pi_1^* u \cdot \tau_{TG/G})}{\pi^*(\bigoplus_i (-1)^i \bigwedge^i N \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})} \end{aligned}$$

where N is the normal bundle of T in G . Note that $N \cong T \times \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t} = T \times \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$. So

$$\bigoplus_i (-1)^i \bigwedge^i N \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} (1 - e^\alpha) \otimes 1 \in R(T) \otimes K^*(T) \cong K_T^*(T)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i_2^* \circ i_1^*(\pi_1^* u \cdot \tau_{TG/G}) &= i_2^*(\pi_2^*(i^* u) \cdot \tau_{TG|T/T}) \\
&= i_2^*(\pi_2^*(i^* u) \cdot (\pi_3^* \tau_{TT/T} \cdot \tau_{TG|T/TT})) \\
&= \pi^*(i^* u) \cdot \tau_{TT/T} \cdot i_2^* \tau_{TG|T/TT} \\
&= \pi^*(i^* u) \cdot \tau_{TT/T} \cdot \left(\bigoplus_i (-1)^i \pi^* \left(\bigwedge^i N \right) \right) \\
&= \pi^*(i^* u \cdot \left(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^\alpha) \otimes 1 \right)) \cdot \tau_{TT/T}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Ind}_T^{TT} \frac{i_2^* \circ i_1^*(\pi_1^* u \cdot \tau_{TG/G})}{\pi^*(\bigoplus_i (-1)^i \bigwedge^i N \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})} \\
&= \text{Ind}_T^{TT} \pi^* \left(\frac{i^* u \cdot (\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^\alpha \otimes 1))}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} (1 - e^\alpha) \otimes 1} \right) \cdot \tau_{TT/T} \\
&= \text{Ind}_T^T \frac{i^* u}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} (1 - e^\alpha) \otimes 1} \\
&= \frac{\text{Ind}_T^T i^* u}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} (1 - e^\alpha)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.4. $\beta(\rho) := \varphi(d\rho)$

Proposition 2.5. $i^* \beta(\rho) = \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \rho} e^{\lambda_j} \otimes \beta(\lambda_j) \in K_T^{-1}(T)$, where λ_j are the weights of ρ .

Proof. This follows easily by restricting the complex of T -equivariant vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow G \times \mathbb{R} \times V \xrightarrow{\psi} G \times \mathbb{R} \times V \longrightarrow 0$$

to

$$0 \longrightarrow T \times \mathbb{R} \times V \xrightarrow{\psi|_{T \times \mathbb{R} \times V}} T \times \mathbb{R} \times V \longrightarrow 0$$

and noting that the second complex can be decomposed into a direct sum of complexes of 1-dimensional T -equivariant vector bundles, each of which corresponds to a weight of ρ . □

The following is an equivariant analogue of Lemma 3 of [At].

Lemma 2.6.

$$i^* \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\rho_i) \right) = d_G \otimes \prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\varpi_i)$$

where ρ_i is the i -th fundamental representation, ϖ_i is the i -th fundamental weight and $d_G \in R(T)$ is the Weyl denominator.

Proof. By Proposition 2.5,

$$(2) \quad i^* \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\rho_i) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^l \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \rho_i} e^{\varpi_{ij}} \otimes \beta(\varpi_{ij})$$

The right-hand side must be of the form $A \otimes \prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\varpi_i)$ for some $A \in R(T)$. Since $i^*(\prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\rho_i))$ is W -invariant and $\prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\varpi_i)$ is anti- W -invariant, A is anti- W -invariant and of the form $\sum_{w \in W} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{sgn}(w) e^{w \cdot \gamma_i}$, where γ_i lie in the positive Weyl chamber. Let γ_1 be the highest weight among $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. By comparing the right-hand side of Eq. (2) and $A \otimes \prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\varpi_i)$, $\lambda_1 = \sum_{i=1}^l \varpi_i := \theta$ (the half sum of positive roots). Note that λ_1 is the lowest weight in the interior of the positive Weyl chamber, and that if γ lies on any wall of the chamber, $\sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}(w) e^{w \cdot \gamma} = 0$. As a result, $A = \sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}(w) e^{w \cdot \theta} = d_G$. \square

Lemma 2.7. *The map $\text{Ind}^T : K^*(T) \xrightarrow{th_{TT}/T} K^*(TT) \xrightarrow{\text{Ind}^{TT}} K^*(pt)$ sends $\prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\varpi_i)$ to 1.*

Proof. See [At]. \square

Corollary 2.8. $\text{Ind}_T^G \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\rho_i) \right) = e^{-\theta}$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_T^G \left(\prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\rho_i) \right) &= \frac{\text{Ind}_T^T \left(\sum_{w \in W} \text{sgn}(w) e^{w \cdot \theta} \otimes \prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\varpi_i) \right)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} (1 - e^\alpha)} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (e^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \otimes \text{Ind}^T \prod_{i=1}^l \beta(\varpi_i)}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})} \\ &= e^{-\theta} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 2.9. $\varphi : \bigwedge_{R(T)}(d\rho_1, \dots, d\rho_l) \rightarrow K_T^*(G)$ is an algebra isomorphism.

Proof. Define $k : K_T^*(G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R(T)}(\bigwedge_{R(T)}(d\rho_1, \dots, d\rho_l), R(T))$ by $x \mapsto (y \mapsto \text{Ind}_T^T(x\varphi(y)))$. Corollary 2.8 implies that $k \circ \varphi$ is an $R(T)$ -module isomorphism (as the equivariant index $e^{-\theta}$ is invertible in $R(T)$), which in turn implies that φ is injective, and $\text{Im}(\varphi)$ is a direct summand of $K_T^*(G)$. Being both of rank 2^l , $\text{Im}(\varphi) = K_T^*(G)$. \square

Theorem 2.10. (1) $\alpha : K_G^*(G) \rightarrow K_T^*(G)^W$ is a ring isomorphism. Here W acts on the coefficient ring $K_T^*(pt) = R(T)$ in the following sense. If E is a T -equivariant vector bundle, then $w \cdot E$ is the same vector bundle with a new T -action given by $t * v = (w \cdot t) \cdot v$.

(2) $\varphi : \bigwedge_{R(T)}(d\rho_1, \dots, d\rho_l) \rightarrow K_T^*(G)$ is W -equivariant.

(3) $\varphi : \bigwedge_{R(G)}(d\rho_1, \dots, d\rho_l) \rightarrow K_G^*(G)$ is an algebra isomorphism.

Proof. (1) is a consequence of Lemma 2.1 and part (i) of Corollary 4.10 of [HLS]. (2) is obvious from the definition of φ . (3) follows immediately from Proposition 2.9, (1) and (2). \square

REFERENCES

- [At] M. F. Atiyah, *On the K -theory of compact Lie groups*, Topology, Vol. 4, 95-99, Pergamon Press, 1965.
- [AS] M. F. Atiyah, G. Segal, *The index of elliptic operators: II*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 87, No. 3 (May, 1968), pp. 531-545
- [BZ] J.-L. Brylinski, B. Zhang, *Equivariant K -theory of compact connected Lie groups*, K-Theory, May 2000, Volume 20, Issue 1, pp 23-36
- [HLS] M. Harada, G. Landweber, and R. Sjamaar, *Divided differences and the Weyl character formula in equivariant K -theory*, Math. Res. Lett. 17 (2010), no. 3, 507-527
- [HS] L. Hodgkin and V. Snaith, *Topics in K -theory*, Lecture Notes in Math 496, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [S] G. B. Segal, *Equivariant K -theory*, Publ. Math. IHES(Paris) 34 (1968), 129-151.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
 CORNELL UNIVERSITY,
 ITHACA, NY 14853-4201,
 USA

E-MAIL: ckfok@math.cornell.edu

URL: <http://www.math.cornell.edu/~ckfok>